

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,031	10/25/2000		Yoshio Akiyama	107688	9166
25944	7590	03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B	ERRIDO	E, PLC	NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALBBATANDI	, ,,,	22320		1772	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/674,031	AKIYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	bruary 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and possible to the correction and possible that any objection and possible that any objection to the correction and possible that any objection and possible that any object	election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/674,031

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 20, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 –6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander (USPN 1,554,191) in view of Giblin et al. (USPN 6,223,945).

Alexander discloses a milk bottle (Column 1, line 17 and Figures 1 - 3, #1) that has a neck, bottom with a body located in between (Figures 1 and 2). A thick portion is formed on the inside of the body, adjacent to and underneath the edges of the indicia element, label, but not under the entire element (Figure 2). A curved rib, annular collar, extends along all sides, left, right, top and bottom, of the element and is contiguous with the edges of the indicia element,

Application/Control Number: 09/674,031

Art Unit: 1772

thereby positioning the element within the rib (Figures 1 - 3, #4 and 7). However, Alexander fails to disclose a synthetic resin bottle having a body that includes an in-molded label.

Giblin et al. teach a synthetic resin container (Column 4, lines 15 – 48) that is used to hold liquids such as milk (Column 10, lines 36 – 38) comprising a neck and a bottom (Figure 1, #10 and #250) with a body portion in-between made from many panels (Figure 1), wherein the body of the container contains an in-molded label with left and right sides (Column 12, lines 38 – 42) and Figure 8, #310) for the purpose of constructing a body that has improved stress crack resistance while being lighter than traditional bottles (Column 4, lines 22 – 24) and where the in-molded label provides an advantage in the stress crack resistance tests (Column 12, lines 38 – 47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the synthetic material along with an in-molded label in Alexander in order to construct a body that has improved stress crack resistance while being lighter than traditional bottles and where the in-molded label provides an advantage in the stress crack resistance tests as taught by Giblin et al.

It is well settled that a particular shape of a prior invention carries no patentable weight unless the applicant can demonstrate that the new shape provides significant unforeseen improvements to the invention. See *In re Seid*, 161 F.2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947). Also, see In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). In the instant case, the application does not indicate any new, significant attributes of the invention due to changing the shape of the label and the area where the label is located to have recessed corrugated shape, which would have been unforeseen to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore it would have

Application/Control Number: 09/674,031

Art Unit: 1772

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the shape of the label on body of the container. On skilled in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to have the label fit the shape of the bottle, or to change the look of the label and not detract from the appearance of the finished product.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner

Art Unit 1772

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER